

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD847474
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; NOV 1968. Other requests shall be referred to Naval Oceanographic Office, Attn: Code 40, Washington, DC 20390.
AUTHORITY
USNOO notice, 25 Jan 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD847474

INFORMAL REPORT

CHARACTERISTICS OF SCATTERING
LAYERS IN THE O_2 MINIMUM REGION
OF THE EASTERN TROPICAL PACIFIC
AND THEIR RELATION TO BIOLOGICAL
AND CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

NOVEMBER 1968

DDC
RECEIVED
FEB 11 1969
C

The opinions and assertions contained in this report are solely those of the author(s) and should not be taken as an official, or inferred official, opinion of the Naval Oceanographic Office, Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or United States Government.

~~Classification~~
~~Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification~~
This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the ~~NAVY OCEANOGRAPHIC OFFICE~~

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20390

Code - 40

**Best
Available
Copy**

ADDITIONAL BY		
REPORT	WRITE SECTION	<input type="checkbox"/>
DISC	DIFF SECTION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED		<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION		
BY		
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES		
DET.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL	
2		

INFORMAL REPORT

The Informal Report (IR) as produced at the Naval Oceanographic Office is a means for personnel to issue timely scientific and technical preliminary reports of their investigations. These are primarily informal documents used to report preliminary findings or useful byproducts of investigations and work to members of the scientific and industrial communities.

Informal Reports are assigned sequential numbers for each calendar year; the digits preceding the dash indicate the year.

The distribution made of this report is determined primarily by the author. Information concerning obtaining additional copies or being placed on a distribution list for all future Informal Reports in a given area of interest or specialty field, should be obtained from:

Distribution Control Department
Code 4420
Naval Oceanographic Office
Washington, D. C. 20390

ABSTRACT

Three scattering layers exist in the minimum O_2 region of the eastern tropical Pacific. Maximum scattering within these layers was determined by decreasing echosounder gain. Regression analyses were made of various biological and chemical parameters, and correlation coefficients between these parameters and maximum scattering depths were determined. The correlation coefficients show peak nitrite concentrations to be intimately related to the shallowest migrating scattering layer. In addition, correlations between peaks of scattering in the surface layer and maximum C_{14} activity and chlorophyll a concentration suggest that these parameters may possibly be measured by high-frequency sound sources.

Trawl data suggest small bathypelagic fishes and zooplankton as causes of the shallowest migrating scattering layer and large bathypelagic fishes as the cause for the deepest layer.

Coleman Levenson
ASW Branch
Oceanographic Prediction Division
Marine Sciences Department

This report has been reviewed
and is approved for release as
an UNCLASSIFIED Informal Report.


Division Director

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION.	1
METHODS	2
RESULTS	3
DISCUSSION.	6
SUMMARY	7
REFERENCES.	9

TABLES

TABLE 1: Scattering Layer Analysis	11
--	----

ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE 2a: Detection of Maximum Scattering in Surface Layer by Gain Reduction.	14
FIGURE 2b: Echogram of the Characteristic Downward Migration of Two Deep Scattering Layers, The Equal Separation of These Layers, and a Migration Rate of 5.2 m/min . . .	15
FIGURE 2c: Echogram Showing Effect of Gain Reduction on Depth of Maximum Scattering in Three Layers.	16
FIGURE 2d: Echogram Showing Poor Representation of Scattering Layers on 0-1,500 Meter Mode	17
FIGURE 3: Typical Nitrite - Nitrate Profile	18
FIGURE 4: Regression Analysis of Nitrite Maximum vs Depth of Maximum Scattering in Second Layer.	19
FIGURE 5: Regression Analysis of Nitrite Maximum vs Nitrate Minimum	20
FIGURE 6: Regression Analysis of Maximum C_{14} Uptake vs Depth of Maximum Scattering in Surface Layer	21
FIGURE 7: Regression Analysis of Chlorophyll Maximum and Depth of Maximum Scattering in Surface Layer	21

INTRODUCTION

Certain marine animals are limited to stratified layers in the water column, rather than being uniformly distributed. Many of these animals undertake considerable diurnal vertical migrations which can be followed by sound ranging (Raymont, 1963; Hersey and Backus, 1962). Echosounders and filtered white noise, used to detect and study the stratified layers, have delineated general characteristics and geographic distribution of these layers (Beklemishev, 1956; Hersey and Backus, 1954; and Tchernia, 1950). Such layers occur in every major water body in the world and are commonly described as deep scattering layers (DSL).

Many attempts to identify the organisms responsible for scattering layers have produced inconclusive results. Various authors have suggested such widely diverse organisms as squid, pteropods, siphonophores, euphausiids, and bathypelagic fishes as the causative organisms. Recent research, however, has directed attention to the air bladders of certain families of bathypelagic fishes as the probable cause of the DSL phenomena recorded on echosounders (Hersey and Backus, 1954, 1962; Marshall, 1951; and Andreeva, 1964). Bathypelagic fishes are believed to be intimately associated in a hunter-hunted relationship with other types of organisms which inhabit these layers. These organisms (mainly zooplankton) graze on phytoplankton which in turn are dependent on chemical nutrients and light (Aron, 1960; Beklemishev, 1956; and Raymont, 1963).

Assuming that a correlation should exist between some link in this chain and the scattering layers, research was undertaken aboard the R/V TE VEGA during April and May 1967 under the auspices of the Hopkins Marine

Station of Stanford University

METHODS

A modified Tucker trawl net designed especially for collecting macroplankton and nekton was used. Two devices were attached to the net bridle: a standard bathykymograph which recorded time versus depth and a device for presetting the time of opening and closing of the net. The net was always lowered with the mouth closed.

Scattering was recorded on two Simrad echosounders. The first, a Simrad Sonar, Model 540-4 (Simonsen Radio A.S., Oslo), was powered by a 24-volt Constavolt battery eliminator model 602A. It operated at a frequency of 30 kHz with a pulse power of 1,000 watts. This echosounder was operated on a depth-sounding mode with ranges of 0-130, 100-230, and 200-330 fathoms. A fourth range of 0-1,500 meters was rarely used owing to lack of definition of the scattering layer at this setting (fig. 2d). Most recordings were made with a pulse-length setting of one and sensitivity (gain) settings of 6 to 9. High signal to noise ratios made it impractical to record scattering layers while underway.

The second echosounder, a Simrad Echo-Sounder, Type 513-1, was powered by the ship's generators boosted from 115 to 220 volts by a Simrad transformer, Type 517-33. Pulse power was 800 watts at a frequency of 11 kHz. This instrument proved unsatisfactory for recording scattering layers owing to many artifacts that appeared on the echograms. These artifacts were believed to be caused by fluctuations in the TE VEGA power supply. Persistence of this problem throughout the cruise rendered recordings by this instrument unreliable.

Water samples were collected at each station with standard Nansen casts. The samples were analyzed for ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, phosphate, silicate, salinity, and oxygen concentrations. In addition, water was collected from the photic zone at depths of the 1, 10, 25, 50, and 100 percent light levels with a glass cylinder closed at the desired depths by a messenger. Approximately 10.5 liters were collected for C_{14} and chlorophyll measurements. Nitrate was measured by the method of Grasshoff (1964), O_2 by the Winkler method as modified by Carpenter (1965), and the remaining determinations by the methods outlined in Strickland and Parsons (1965).

RESULTS

Scattering layer analyses for the 15 stations used in this report are shown in table 1. Scattering layers were recorded before, during, and after water sample collections. In addition, echograms were taken during sunrise and evening vertical migrations. Table 1 shows the geographical position of stations, depth to top of layer, thickness of layer, and depths of maximum scattering for each layer. The survey area and the O_2 minimum region are shown in figure 1.

Figure 2 shows some representative echograms. Three recordable daytime layers were always present. The first, a shallow scattering layer, occurred at all times, varied in thickness between 62 and 173 meters, and usually increased in thickness at night when the migrating layers merged with it. Reduction of echosounder gain during the day permitted determination of the approximate depth of maximum scattering within each layer (e.g. fig. 2a). One or two such depths usually

existed in the shallow layer, but as many as three were noted. The second, and next shallowest layer, was a migrating layer. The daytime depth to the top of this layer varied between 238 and 320 meters, and its thickness varied between 22 and 95 meters. This layer showed heavier scattering on the 30-kHz echosounder than did the deepest scattering layer. Its evening migration began at the same time as that of the deepest layer, and it merged with the surface layer first. Its downward (sunrise) migration began later than that of the deepest layer and it maintained the same vertical distance between itself and the deepest layer during the migration. The deepest layer was also a migrating layer whose daytime depths varied between 325 and 440 meters. Its thickness ranged from 26 to 91 meters. This layer never showed exceptionally concentrated scattering and was barely recordable at times; however, it was always present. Migration of this layer was identical to the second layer, varying only in starting times. Migration rates ranged from 4.9 to 9.1 m/min with the rate increasing gradually from the beginning of each migration (fig. 2b) to the maximum rate.

Midday Tucker trawls at stations 659, 663, and 664 caught bathypelagic fish up to 15 cm in length at depths between 320 and 625 meters. The deepest scattering layer was within these depth limits at this time. In addition, bathypelagic fish of the same general size were caught between 270 and 385 meters at approximately 1830 local time at station 652, when the deepest layer was migrating through this depth range. Trawls towed below 650 meters showed a definite paucity of organisms. Daytime trawls made at the depths of the shallowest migrating layer contained greater concentrations of zooplankton and bathypelagic fish

much smaller than those caught in deeper trawls.

Chemical analysis of water samples showed a definite nitrite peak that varied between 200 and 325 meters. A drop in nitrate concentration associated with this peak could not be related stoichiometrically to the rise in nitrite concentration. The peak nitrite concentration ranged between 1.07 and 1.80 $\mu\text{g-atoms/l}$. Figure 3 shows typical nitrite and nitrate concentrations in the area.

As previously mentioned, the approximate depth of maximum scattering within layers could be determined by decreasing the gain of the echosounder (figs. 2a, c, d). A least squares regression analysis of the relationship between this scattering peak and the depth of maximum nitrite concentrations is shown in figure 4. A similar analysis for the nitrite-nitrate relationship is shown in figure 5. These relationships had correlation coefficients of 0.72 and 0.65 with 95 percent confidence limits ranging from 0.4 to 0.9 and from 0.2 to 0.8, respectively. The equations for the analyses are:

$$y = 0.9418x - 19.86 \quad (1)$$

$$y = 0.5134x + 117.18 \quad (2)$$

Knowing that y equals the depth of nitrite maximum in each equation and that x equals maximum scattering depth in (1) and depth of nitrate minimum in (2), we can solve for maximum scattering depth within the second layer.

$$X_{\text{max}} = \frac{0.5134 [\text{Z-nitrate}] + 137.04}{0.9418} \quad (3)$$

Similar analyses were performed for depths of maximum scattering in the surface layer versus C_{14} productivity (fig. 6) and chlorophyll

a (fig. 7). When multiple peaks occurred, the best fit was chosen. Figures 6 and 7 show that significantly positive correlation coefficients exist between maximum scattering and the biological parameters. Other measured parameters could not be significantly related to the scattering layers.

DISCUSSION

The behavior of the migrating scattering layers in this area differs from that found in an area immediately to the north. Batzler and Westerfield (1953) report the DSL at 450 meters and a rate of migration of 2.3 m/min in the area of Guadalupe. Similar types of organisms exist in both of these areas (Wooster, 1952; Berry and Perkins, 1966), thus indicating that different chemical characteristics in the O_2 minimum region are perhaps responsible for the difference.

The characteristic immediately obvious upon inspection of the data is the relation between the pronounced nitrite peak and the daytime depths of the second layer. This peak is significantly correlated with the depth of maximum scattering in this layer. Nitrite, under these conditions, can appear in two ways. It can be reduced by organisms from nitrate or it can be oxidized from lower valence nitrogen precursors (Harvey, 1960; Vaccaro, 1965).

An associated decrease in nitrate concentration correlated with the nitrite peak suggests that nitrite is being formed from nitrate. The quantitative nitrite-nitrate difference, however, indicates that a dynamic system may be operating with the nitrite being changed at a constant rate to some other compound.

Concentrations of ammonia, although high at these depths, do not account for the nitrate loss. This suggests that either the nitrite is being changed to some intermediate compound that was not measured (e.g. N_2O_2 or N_2) or that the nitrite is somehow assimilated by organisms at these depths. Daytime vertical movement of the second layer in direct relation to nitrite-nitrate concentrations suggests that the depth of this layer is being affected by these two parameters.

Maximum scattering occurred in the surface layer at as many as three depths, suggesting that different organisms are selectively stratified within this layer. Phytoplankton probably cannot be detected with 30 kHz sound; however, these peaks may be caused by organisms not sampled but directly related to the phytoplankton. Correlation of maximum scattering with productivity and standing crop measurements indicates that these parameters are measurable with a sound source. Owing to the crude method used for determining peaks of scattering, this correlation has not been firmly established. The use of a higher-frequency sound source or perhaps filtered white noise to determine absolute peaks of scattering within the surface layer and comparison of these peaks with C_{14} and chlorophyll a maximums could lead to a rapid and easy method of determining these parameters.

SUMMARY

Three scattering layers are shown to exist in the minimum O_2 region of the eastern tropical Pacific. The surface layer, a nonmigrating scattering layer, varied in thickness between 62 and 173 meters. The top of the second layer, between 22 and 95 meters thick, had daytime depths ranging from 238 to 320 meters. The top of the third layer,

between 26 and 91 meters thick, had daytime depths ranging from 325 to 400 meters. Maximum scattering within these layers was determined by gain reduction of the echosounder. Regression analyses were made and correlation coefficients were determined between various biological and chemical parameters and depths of maximum scattering. The analyses show peak nitrite concentrations to be intimately related to the shallowest migrating scattering layer. This layer changes depth in direct relation to the nitrite peak. Maximum scattering in the surface layer was correlated with maximum C_{14} activity and chlorophyll a concentrations, suggesting that these parameters may possibly be measured by high-frequency sound sources.

Trawl catches suggest that small bathypelagic fishes and zooplankton cause the shallowest migrating layer and that large bathypelagic fishes cause the deeper layer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to the following members of the expedition for technical assistance: W. Baribault, W. A. Ford, J. Norton, R. Perkins, W. Samuel, G. Silberstein, and D. Wallen. In particular, he wishes to thank Dr. John Goering of Alaska University and Dr. Malvern Gilmartin of Stanford University for advice and assistance in preparing this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Andreeva, I. B. 1964. Scattering of sound by air bladders of fish in deep sound scattering layers in the ocean. Soviet Physics Acoustics, V. 10, 1.
2. Aron, W. 1960. The distribution of animals in the eastern North Pacific and its relationship to physical and chemical conditions. Department of Oceanography, University of Washington, Technical Report 63, Reference 60-50, Oct. 1960.
3. Batsler, W. E. and E. C. Westerfield, 1953. Sonar studies on the DSL in the North Pacific. USN Electron. Lab. Rept. 334, 1-22.
4. Beklemishev, K. V. 1956. Sound scattering layers and the vertical distribution of zooplankton and fish in the sea. Naval Oceanographic Office, Translation 109.
5. Berry, F. H. and H. C. Perkins 1966. Survey of the California Current Area. Fish. Bull. Vol. 65, No. 3.
6. Carpenter, J. H. 1965. The Chesapeake Bay Institute technique for the Winkler dissolved oxygen method. Limnol. Oceanogr., 10, 141-143.
7. Grasshoff K. 1964. Zur bestimmung von nitrat in meer-und trinkwasser. Kieler Meeresforsch., 20, 5-11.
8. Harvey, H. W. 1960. The Chemistry and Fertility of Sea Water. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
9. Hareey, J. B. and R. H. Backus 1954. New evidence that migrating gas bubbles, probably the swim bladders of fish, are largely responsible for scattering layers on the continental rise south of New England. Deep Sea Res., 1, 190-191.
10. - - - - -. 1962. Sound scattering by marine organisms. p. 498-539, The Sea, Vol. I edited by N. M. Hill. Wiley and Sons, New York-London.
11. Marshall, M. B. 1951. Bathypelagic Fishes as Sound Scatterers in the Ocean. J. Mar. Res., 10, 1-17.
12. Raymont, J. E. G. 1963. Plankton and Productivity in the Oceans, 660 pp. McMillan Co., New York.
13. Strickland, J. D. H. and T. R. Parsons 1965. A Manual of Sea Water Analysis. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada Bull. 125, 2nd ed.
14. Tchernia, P. 1950. Observations d'oceanographie biologique faites par L'Aviso polaire Commandant charcot pendant La campagne 1948-1949. II Observation sur la DSL faites a bord du Commandant charcot (Campagne 1948-49). Bull. Infor. Com. Cent. Oceanog. et d'Etude des cotes, 2, 7-20.

15. Techer, G. H. 1951. Relation of fishes and other organisms to the scattering of underwater sound. J. Mar. Res. 10, 215-238.
16. Vaccaro, R. F. 1965. Inorganic Nitrogen in Sea Water. Chemical Oceanography edited by Riley and Skirrow Academic Press. New York.
17. Wooster, W. S. Shellback Exped. 17 May to 27 Aug. 1952, University of California, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, Unpub. Manuscript, Ref. 52-63, 14pp.

Table 1: Scattering Layer Analysis
(meters)

Date	Station Number	Lat. N.	Long. W.	Depth to Top of Layer	Thickness of Layer	Depth of Maximum Scattering
7/IV/67	652	16°22'15"	99°55'	(2) 238 (3) 338	(1) 80 (2) 36 (3) 38	(1) 45 (2) 256 (3) 357
8/IV/67	653	15 58	99°47'30"	(2) 289 (3) 369	(1) 86 (2) 22 (3) 55	(1) 54 (2) 300 (3) 409
9/IV/67	654	15 22	100 06	(2) 280 (3) 380	(1) 106 (2) 60 (3) 45	(1) 20 (2) 314 (3) 406
10/IV/67	655	14 55	100 04	(2) 320 (3) 402	(1) 132 (2) 59 (3) 27	(1) 73* (2) 354 (3) 411
11/IV/67	656	14 57	99 52	(2) 274 (3) 391	(1) 109 (2) 64 (3) 91	(1) ---** (2) 312 (3) 411
12/IV/67	657	14 28	100 10	(2) 296 (3) 387	(1) 143 (2) 47 (3) 26	(1) 82* (2) 316 (3) 406
14/IV/67	658	13 30	100 50	(2) 263 (3) 411	(1) 173 (2) 95 (3) 55	(1) ---** (2) 301 (3) 448
15/IV/67	659	13 26	101 36	(2) 307 (3) 417	(1) 145 (2) 50 (3) 44	(1) 91* (2) 329 (3) 435
17/IV/67	660	13 35	103 28	(2) 320 (3) 420	(1) 90 (2) 48 (3) 46	(1) 64* (2) 338 (3) 430
18/IV/67	661	13 30	103 37 30	(2) 303 (3) 391	(1) 62 (2) 40 (3) 48	(1) ---** (2) 321 (3) 406

* Two or more peaks noted.
**No discernable peak.

(1) Surface layer
(2) Shallowest migrating layer
(3) Deepest migrating layer

Table 1 (con.)

Date	Station Number	Lat. N.	Long. W.	Depth to Top of Layer	Thickness of Layer	Depth of Maximum Scattering
20/IV/67	662	15°15'	104°42'30"	(2) 293 (3) 440	(1) 93 (2) 91 (3) 57	(1) 36 (2) 520 (3) 460
21/IV/67	663	16 59	103 49	(2) 267 (3) 325	(1) 88 (2) 26 (3) 27	(1) 34 (2) 281 (3) 332
24/IV/67	664	19 02	105 41	(2) 285 (3) 300	(1) 173 (2) 44 (3) 35	(1) 37 (2) 385 (3) 408
28/IV/67	665	20 58	106 24	(2) 280 (3) 305	(1) 122 (2) 50 (3) 43	(1) 20 (2) 295 (3) 420
29/IV/67	666	21 57	107 10	(2) 275 (3) 387	(1) 145 (2) 52 (3) 40	(1) 40 (2) 290 (3) 400




FIGURE 1 O₂ MINIMUM REGION AND AREA OF SURVEY




FIGURE 2a DETECTION OF MAXIMUM SCATTERING IN SURFACE LAYER BY GAIN REDUCTION




FIGURE 2b ECHOGRAM OF THE CHARACTERISTIC DOWNWARD MIGRATION OF TWO
DEEP SCATTERING LAYERS, THE EQUAL SEPARATION OF THESE LAYERS,
AND A MIGRATION RATE OF 5.2 M/MIN



FIGURE 2c ECHOGRAM SHOWING EFFECT OF GAIN REDUCTION ON DEPTH OF MAXIMUM SCATTERING IN THREE LAYERS




FIGURE 2d ECHOGRAM SHOWING POOR REPRESENTATION OF SCATTERING LAYERS
ON 0-1,500 METER MODE




FIGURE 3 TYPICAL NITRITE-NITRATE PROFILE




FIGURE 4 REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF NITRITE MAXIMUM VS DEPTH OF MAXIMUM SCATTERING IN SECOND LAYER




FIGURE 5 REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF NITRITE MAXIMUM VS NITRATE MINIMUM




FIGURE 6 REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF MAXIMUM C_{14} UPTAKE VS DEPTH OF MAXIMUM SCATTERING IN SURFACE LAYER




FIGURE 7 REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF CHLOROPHYLL MAXIMUM AND DEPTH OF MAXIMUM SCATTERING IN SURFACE LAYER

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Oceanographic Prediction Division Marine Sciences Department		None
		2b. GROUP
		N/A
3. REPORT TITLE		
Characteristics of Scattering Layers in the 0 ₂ Minimum Region of the Eastern Tropical Pacific and Their Relation to Biological and Chemical Parameters		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)		
Coleman Levenson		
6. REPORT DATE	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
November 1968	21	17
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
None	IR No. 68-105	
A. PROJECT NO.		
C.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.	None	
10. DISSEMINATION STATEMENT		
This document may be further distributed by any person only with specific approval of the Oceanographic Office.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
	U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office Washington, D. C. 20390	
13. ABSTRACT		
<p>Three scattering layers exist in the minimum 0₂ region of the eastern tropical Pacific. Maximum scattering within these layers was determined by decreasing echosounder gain. Regression analyses were made of various biological and chemical parameters, and correlation coefficients between these parameters and maximum scattering depths were determined. The correlation coefficients show peak nitrite concentrations to be intimately related to the shallowest migrating scattering layer. In addition, correlations between peaks of scattering in the surface layer and maximum C₁₄ activity and chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration suggested that these parameters may possibly be measured by high-frequency sound sources.</p> <p>Trawl data suggest small bathypelagic fishes and zooplankton as causes of the shallowest migrating scattering layer and large bathypelagic fishes as the cause for the deepest layer.</p>		

DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)

NOV 68
C/N 0101-807-0001

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINE A		LINE B		LINE C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Deep scattering layer						
Ocean chemistry						
Chlorophyll <u>a</u>						
Marine biology						
Nitrate						
Nitrite						
C ₁₄						
O ₂ minimum layer						